

La Vista Center

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Information	
School Name	La Vista Center
Street	4501 Bannister Rd.
City, State, Zip	Fair Oaks CA, 95628
Phone Number	(916) 867-2121
Principal	Sherrie Marlette
E-mail Address	smarlette@sanjuan.edu
CDS Code	34-67447-3430394

District Contact Information	
District Name	San Juan Unified School District
Phone Number	(916) 971-7700
Web Site	www.sanjuan.edu
Superintendent	Glynn Thompson
E-mail Address	glynn.thompson@sanjuan.edu

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Principal's Message

We are pleased to offer a wide continuum of services at La Vista Center to ensure that all students have the supports, structure and supervision needed to be academically, socially, emotionally and behaviorally successful. It is important that students have the tools and coping skills needed to stabilize and self-regulate in order to more fully access their education and engage in learning. Through the varied program structures on our campus, it is our hope that students learn to demonstrate pro-social skills, interact with peers and staff in a behaviorally appropriate way, attend regularly, and actively engage in the classroom learning environment. The low student/teacher ratios, schoolwide behavior system, frequent home/school communication, social skills groups, access to site based mental health services, individualized education planning and small class size are conducive to success for all students as we strongly believe that ALL STUDENTS CAN LEARN!!

Mission Statement

La Vista Center is dedicated to the education of the whole student through the development of positive social, emotional, behavioral and academic skills. Our staff are committed to addressing the growth of the individual in school and in the community. Using a therapeutic model, we work to provide a physically and psychologically safe environment to establish trust, build self esteem, develop internal controls and foster learning and academic success to fulfill individual potential.

School Profile

La Vista Center is a Special Education Center for students classified as Emotionally Disturbed, serving 6th through 12th graders using grade level standards and best practices for instruction. All students have a current Individual Education Plan (IEP), participate in state testing, and are on a diploma track. La Vista Center has three programs that operate to serve the needs of students. They include the Day Treatment, Traditional, and Self-Contained programs. There is a high staff to student ratio in order to meet intense instructional, behavioral, social, and emotional needs of our students. La Vista Center is dedicated to the education of the whole student through the development of positive social, emotional, and academic growth. Our staff is committed to addressing the growth of the individual in the school, home, and community environment. Teachers are specially trained in the areas of differentiation of instruction, social skills development and positive behavior supports in order to help our students develop and apply 21 Century skills such as problem solving, critical thinking, group collaboration, and applications of technology. All staff are trained in Therapeutic Crisis Intervention (TCI) in order to ensure a physically and psychologically safe environment for learning.

The Day Treatment program serves those students who need the therapeutic model of a trained mental health therapist working in the classroom alongside the teacher and instructional assistants. In addition, the therapist's role is to provide site based educationally related mental health services to the student as well as work with the family to support further stabilization and school success. Emotional and behavioral issues are often dealt with in a more intensive manner using the school environment to educate and emotionally regulate the student. Social skills groups promote pro-social skill development and positive peer interactions. Home-School communication is a vital part of the school day as well with parents being a major partner in the child's academic, social and emotional stabilization and success.

The Traditional program serves those students who have acquired many of the skills to begin the transition process to a regular comprehensive middle or high school campus. They are able to receive instruction and complete their assignments with minimal intervention and scaffolding, readying them to begin accessing instruction in the general education setting. Students are beginning the process to plan for their futures beyond high school and set more long term goals for a successful transition into adulthood, including college and career readiness.

The Self-Contained Classroom serves those students whose social, emotional and behavioral needs require a higher level of external controls due to a history of behavioral events which often include safety issues. Increased structure, supports and supervision are implemented to ensure greatest opportunities for focus on learning. Consistency of staff ensures for less change and transition throughout their day. Students benefit from positive behavior supports and interventions geared towards rewarding students when they chose to actively participate as part of the learning community.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

La Vista Center greatly benefits from its supportive parents who play an integral part in the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral successes of our students. The school has a strong base of parent volunteers who actively participate in the individual education plans for their students and provide support for school-wide behavioral interventions and rewards. Parents are also welcome to join the La Vista Site Council, where they can give valuable input in determining La Vista's site goals, program planning and the allocation of resources. The school is also interested in cultivating additional community partnerships.

Contact Information

Parents or community members who wish to participate in school advisory committees, assist with school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the La Vista Center principal Sherrie Marlette at 867-2121

III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	4	16	14	55	57	54	54	56	55
Mathematics	8	8	7	49	50	47	49	50	50
Science		12		58	60	59	57	60	59
History-Social Science	0	5	6	47	49	48	48	49	49

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	54	47	59	48
All Student at the School	14	7		6
Male	14	7		7
Female	15	9		
Black or African American	7	7		
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	21	9		5
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13	7		7
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	14	7		6
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB).

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts		15	18	58	58	58	59	56	57
Mathematics			8	57	56	59	56	58	60

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	42	22	36	41	37	22
All Students at the School	82	18		92	8	
Male	82	18				
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	86	14				
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80	20		91	9	
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities	82	18		92	8	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	C	C	C
Similar Schools	C	C	C

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	-15	66	13
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2013 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	37	436	31,552	782	4,655,989	790
Black or African American	6		2,195	672	296,463	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		411	762	30,394	743
Asian	1		1,727	886	406,527	906
Filipino	0		478	832	121,054	867
Hispanic or Latino	2		6,061	704	2,438,951	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1		290	727	25,351	774
White	25	430	19,816	808	1,200,127	853
Two or More Races	2		574	762	125,025	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30	417	14,726	712	2,774,640	743
English Learners	0		3,828	653	1,482,316	721
Students with Disabilities	37	432	3,874	592	527,476	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	18
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	94.7

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	9
Grade 8	10
Grade 9	18
Grade 10	23
Grade 11	21
Grade 12	28
Total Enrollment	110

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	24.5	White	60.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.8	Two or More Races	1.8
Asian	0.9	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75.5
Filipino	0.0	English Learners	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	9.1	Students with Disabilities	92.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.9		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2010-11			2011-12			2012-13					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	9.3	9	0	0	9.7	9	0	0	5	18		
Mathematics	7.3	7	0	0	7.7	6	0	0	5	19		
Science	10.4	5	0	0	8.3	4	0	0	7	13		
Social Science	9.9	9	0	0	7.5	8	0	0	4	37		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

This school is linked directly to the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Program. In partnership with the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department and the City of Citrus Heights Police Department (CHPD), each school becomes part of a safety zone that is patrolled daily by a sheriff's deputy assigned specifically to specific geographic zone or CHPD officer assigned to a particular school or small group of schools within the City of Citrus Heights. In addition to daily support from a designated Sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer, this school is a part of the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Task Force, which is comprised of safety teams from each of the school sites. Each school safety team meets regularly to discuss safety issues and to take steps to be proactive in preventing various types of school-related safety issues. Law enforcement provides speakers to address students, staff and community groups. Our safety team receives regular training through the Task Force. The School Site Council is responsible for updating our comprehensive school safety plan on a yearly basis. Every San Juan classroom has a standardized "Safety Folder" which serves as a guide for teachers and also includes the site specific crisis response procedures.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Suspensions	184.06	119.5	53.2	16.45	19.4	13.2
Expulsions	0	0	0.0	0.19	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)				
Year and month in which data were collected:				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]			
Electrical: Electrical	[X]			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]			

Overall Facility Rate

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		[X]		

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	10	10	12	1740
Without Full Credential	2	2	2	24
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	45	55
All Schools in District	81	19
High-Poverty Schools in District	81	19
Low-Poverty Schools in District		

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.00	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.00	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		---
Psychologist	0.50	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse		---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.20	---
Resource Specialist		---
Other	0.40	---

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 24, 2013

San Juan Unified held a public hearing on September 24, 2013 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in September 2013 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. If you would like more information on the textbooks and instructional materials please visit our website:

<http://www.sanjuan.edu/departments.cfm?subpage=125110>.

In 2011, the Governor and State Legislature extended the suspension of SBE adoptions of instructional materials until the 2015-16 school year (EC Section 60200.7). While the suspension of adoptions ends in July 2015, at this time there is not yet a schedule established in law for the cycle of future adoptions.

However, in 2012 the Governor and State Legislature enacted AB 1246 (Brownley) which established EC Section 60207 and thereby authorized the SBE to adopt new Common Core State Standards (CCSS)-aligned K-8 instructional materials for mathematics no later than March 2014. More information about this scheduled adoption is available on the CDE Mathematics Web page.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Holt Reinhart: Literature and Language Arts Adopted 2005 Pro-Ed, Inc.: Reading Milestones Adopted 2007	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	McDougal Littell: California Math Algebra 1 [Green Bicycle] Adopted 2008 McDougal Littell: Algebra 2 Adopted 2008 McDougal Littell: Geometry Adopted 2008 Holt: California Mathematics, Course 2 – Pre Algebra Adopted 2009 McDougal Littell: California Math Algebra 1 [Green Bicycle] Adopted 2008 McDougal Littell: Algebra 2 Adopted 2008 Holt: California Mathematics, Course 2 – Pre Algebra Adopted 2009 McDougal Littell: Geometry Adopted 2008	Yes	0.0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science	<p>Prentice Hall, 2007: Biology, CA Edition Adopted 2009</p> <p>Pearson Prentice Hall, 2007: California Chemistry Adopted 2007</p> <p>Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2002: Earth Science, Geology, the Environment, & the Universe Adopted 2004</p> <p>Holt, 2004: Environmental Science Adopted 2009</p> <p>Prentice Hall, 2009: Conceptual Physics Adopted 2008 Prentice Hall, 2007: Biology, CA Edition Adopted 2009</p> <p>Pearson Prentice Hall, 2007: California Chemistry Adopted 2007</p> <p>Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2002: Earth Science, Geology, the Environment, & the Universe Adopted 2004</p> <p>Holt, 2004: Environmental Science Adopted 2009</p> <p>Prentice Hall, 2009: Conceptual Physics Adopted 2008</p>	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	<p>Prentice Hall, 2006: Magruder's American Government Adopted 2007</p> <p>Glencoe, 2005: Economics: Principles and Practices Adopted 2006</p> <p>Glencoe, 2005: Economics: Today and Tomorrow Adopted 2006</p> <p>Teachers' Curriculum Institute, 2006: Geography Alive! Adopted 2007</p> <p>McDougal Littell, 2006: The Americans Adopted 2006</p> <p>McDougal Littell, 2006: Modern World History: Patterns of Interaction Adopted 2006</p>	Yes	0.0
Foreign Language	<p>McDougal Littell: En Espanol!: Spanish Adopted 2002</p> <p>Holt: Nuevas Vista: Spanish Adopted 2007</p> <p>Prentice Hall: Realidades: Spanish Adopted 2007</p>		0.0%

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
	McDougal Littell: French Adopted 2007 Langenscheidt: geni@1A1: German Adopted 2008 Cheng and Tsui: Japanese Adopted 2002 Prentice Hall: Russian Adopted 2008 Cheng and Tsui & Thomson Learning: Chinese Adopted 2002 Prentice Hall: Russian Adopted 2008		
Health	Holt, 2004: Lifetime Health, California Edition Adopted 2005	Yes	0.0%

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$34,690	\$34,680	\$10	
District	---	---	\$5,013	\$71,297
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-99.8	
State	---	---	\$5,537	\$69,704
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---		

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

The table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

In FY 2011-12, the district spent \$7,732,354 in restricted general fund for positions that would have usually been paid for by unrestricted dollars (SF-0) due to receiving American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Education Jobs Funds (EJF). The positions that were paid for included 21.0 FTE for Counselors, 24.0 FTE for Principals and 18.0 FTE for Vice Principals. Due to this change, many schools showed a decrease in unrestricted costs and an increase in restricted costs.

In FY 2011-12, the district spent \$3,163,102 in restricted general fund from the Economic Impact Aid (EIA) grant. The expenses were coded with a central location (000) in 2011-12, when in the previous year the dollars were coded to site locations. The impact of this change will show a decrease in restricted expenses.

In FY 2010-11, \$211,567 was spent by Laurel Ruff & La Vista from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Part B Special Education funds. Due to the funds ending in FY 2010-11, there would usually be a decrease in special education (restricted) expenses but there was an increase because in FY 2011-12 the district received new special education Mental Health dollars. \$457,741 of the dollars were spent by Ralph Richardson and La Vista as well as a decrease in ADA for Laurel Ruff which attributed to increased per student costs.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,336	\$41,462
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,764	\$66,133
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,464	\$85,735
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,439	\$107,206
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$110,111	\$111,641
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$126,647	\$122,628
Superintendent Salary	\$225,000	\$225,176
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.7%	38.3%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.6%	5.1%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California’s Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state’s high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>.

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Dropout Rate	39.10	22.70	61.90	13.40	11.80	10.50	16.60	14.70	13.10
Graduation Rate		54.55	9.52	86.66	79.77	80.63	80.53	77.14	78.73

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2012		
	School	District	State
All Students	12	3,807	418,598
Black or African American	4	271	28,078
American Indian or Alaska Native		45	3,123
Asian		202	41,700
Filipino		56	12,745
Hispanic or Latino	2	606	193,516
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		30	2,585
White	5	2548	127,801
Two or More Races	1	43	6,790
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	1051	217,915
English Learners	2	435	93,297
Students with Disabilities	12	364	31,683

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2012-13)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	18.2
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011-12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		---
English		---
Fine and Performing Arts		---
Foreign Language		---
Mathematics		---
Science		---
Social Science		---
All courses		

* Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Professional development is a key part of the continuous improvement process for educators. The goal of our quality professional development is to support the learning of teachers and paraprofessionals to positively impact student achievement. Annually, teachers and site administrators participate in professional development in a variety of opportunities. Seventy-five minutes per week are dedicated to staff collaboration and training planned by site leadership teams. The District also provides a cycle of continuous professional development for Administrators through Principal Networks as well as Leadership Academies.

The District provides professional development opportunities for teachers and administrators with its major initiatives such as Writing Workshop, Bookshop, Disciplinary Literacy, Balanced Math, UCD History Project, CSUS Math Project, Expository Reading and Writing Course, and Critical Literacy. Many voluntary professional development opportunities are provided throughout the year after school, on Saturdays, and during summer and vacation breaks. This includes training sponsored by district departments, BTSA, grant-funded projects, and the San Juan Teacher's Association. Many teachers and administrators also take advantage of opportunities with SCOE, CDE, the college/university programs, state/national education organizations, and private educational institutes.

What grounds the professional development in the district is the District Strategic Plan and the District Theory of Action. Professional Development is further determined using one or more of the following: (a) student achievement data, (b) staff survey data, and (c) district-identified goals. Professional development addresses the Common Core State Standards (CCSS), teaching strategies, curriculum, assessment, technology, classroom management, safety, and leadership. Administrator training accompanies professional development in district focus areas, providing implementation support for teachers on site. Content-area coaches are available at some schools. Additional classroom support is provided to new and struggling teachers by PAR/BTSA teachers.

Paraprofessionals are encouraged to participate in professional development at the district and site level. Specifically designed training is also offered to non-instructional support staff such as clerical and custodial staff that includes both operational and instructional topics.