

# Albert Schweitzer Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

#### Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

<b>School Contact Information</b>	
<b>School Name</b>	Albert Schweitzer Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	4350 Glenridge Drive
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Carmichael CA, 95608
<b>Phone Number</b>	(916) 867-2094
<b>Principal</b>	Matt English
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:matt.english@sanjuan.edu">matt.english@sanjuan.edu</a>
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.sanjuan.edu/schweitzer">http://www.sanjuan.edu/schweitzer</a>
<b>Grades Served</b>	K-5
<b>CDS Code</b>	34-67447-6034896

District Contact Information	
District Name	San Juan Unified School District
Phone Number	(916) 971-7700
Superintendent	Kent Kern
E-mail Address	info@sanjuan.edu
Web Site	www.sanjuan.edu

### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

#### Mission Statement

Albert Schweitzer Elementary, an exemplary model of sophisticated student expression, empowers each child to collaborate and problem solve as a compassionate and highly literate 21st Century citizen through innovative, research-based, instructional strategies that incorporate strong character values in partnership with families in a nurturing environment.

#### School Profile

Albert Schweitzer Elementary is one of 35 elementary schools in the San Juan Unified School District. The 386 students attending Schweitzer are 65% white, 22% Hispanic or Latino, 5% African American, 3% Asian, 2% Filipino, 1% American Indian, and 1% Pacific Islander. Our school provides a comprehensive academic program based on the Common Core State Standards. Schweitzer has two SDC LH classrooms, one for our kinder to second grade LH students, and the other for our 3rd - 5th grade LH students. We also have an Early Childhood Education preschool on our campus serving pre-k students with language/speech needs. Our school is in its sixth year of writer's workshop, fifth year of reader's workshop and fifth year of critical literacy. The K-2 grade levels are in their third year of critical math professional development with third grade in the second year, and 4th and 5th grades entering their 1st year. The K-1 grade levels have begun their second year of responsive reading instruction, working on the personalization and differentiation of reading instruction through the use of formative assessment tools, shared, and guided reading. We believe students can achieve at high levels and we tailor our instructional practices to challenge and support all students.

The goal of Schweitzer School is to provide each student with a challenging and rigorous curriculum appropriate to his/her academic level. We believe every child can achieve academic success. To this end, every child is provided with quality instructional experiences which recognize, support and maintain high expectations for all students.

With parents and teachers working together as a team, towards the same goal, every child will succeed. In order to give each child the best opportunity for success, we keep children first in all that we do. This is our core value and common cause.

We also believe that children need exposure to and instruction in the arts. We hold a weekly whole school "sing" where we sing traditional and new songs. This has been in place for many years and is a well-loved tradition of our school. We additionally host two plays every year directed by parents as well as provide classes in art and PE.

At Schweitzer School, we take the business of loving and teaching children seriously. Each person at our school approaches his/her task with a keen understanding of the honor that it is to be able to touch the future through our children.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	56
Grade 1	63
Grade 2	67
Grade 3	66
Grade 4	60
Grade 5	74
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>386</b>

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5
Asian	2.8
Filipino	1
Hispanic or Latino	18.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1
White	67.9
Two or More Races	4.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	43
English Learners	11.4
Students with Disabilities	13.7
Foster Youth	0.8

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	16	16	18	1840
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	28
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)			0	46

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	88.3	11.7
High-Poverty Schools in District	86.6	13.4
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.0	3.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 22, 2015

San Juan Unified held a public hearing on September 22, 2015 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in September 2015 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. If you would like more information on the textbooks and instructional materials please visit our website: <http://www.sanjuan.edu/Page/23485>

In July 2009, EC Section 60200.7, delayed all instructional materials adoptions and the development of curriculum frameworks and evaluation criteria until the 2015-16 school year. Since then specific legislation has authorized the State Board of Education to conduct adoptions in mathematics (Assembly Bill 1246) and English language arts/English language development (Senate Bill 201). For more information regarding San Juan's textbook adoption schedule, please visit our website: <http://www.sanjuan.edu/cms/lib8/CA01902727/Centricity/Domain/140/Textbook%20Pilot%20adoption%20Schedule.pdf>.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	2003: Houghton Mifflin- Legacy of Literature	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	2009: Houghton Mifflin - California Math 2015: Pearson - Envision Math	Yes	0.0
Science	2007: Delta Education - FOSS	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	2005: Teacher's Curriculum Institute (TCI) - History Alive 2007: Scott Foresman/Pearson - History - Social Science for California	Yes	0.0

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Albert Schweitzer Elementary School, constructed in 1961, was modernized during the 2000-2001 school year. The scope included: roof replacement, interior, and exterior painting, window system repair, energy-efficient heating and cooling system upgrade, new doors with handicapped accessible hardware, restroom restoration, electrical infrastructure upgrade to provide for internet, cable, telephone, new fire, intrusion, and intercom system, throughout the school. New carpet and tile was installed in most classrooms. Currently, there is sufficient space to house the student population. There are two Discovery Clubs on site. Site custodial staff clean the buildings, along with district support for major/minor repairs. The district provides gardening and landscape services on a regular schedule. Regular fire/emergency drills are held, and badges are required for all visitors and personnel. Field repair, driveway resurfacing, and irrigation system retrofit continue to be a concern. During the summer of 2008 a new portable 5-classroom wing with Boys, Girls and Staff Restrooms was constructed along with a major landscape and paving project through the Measure J Bond. During the summer of 2014, the restrooms in our main quad were updated with new concrete poured in the main hallways.

The Board of Education and the Superintendent's policy is to ensure that all students are provided with a safe and well-maintained learning environment. The board approved resolutions in 1998 and 2002 to adequately fund maintenance activities and preserve the repairs and improvements funded by two facility bond measures. The school buildings, classrooms and grounds are safe, clean and functional. An inspection of the facility was conducted in November 2015 and determined that there were no unsafe conditions that required emergency repairs. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A computer automated work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs and health and safety repairs are given the highest priority. The Board of Education has adopted cleaning standards and custodial staffing requirements for all schools in the district. This school meets the Board's standards for custodial staffing and cleanliness. The school's custodians are trained in the proper use of cleaning chemicals and Integrated Pest Management techniques. They are managed day to day by the Principal with assistance from the district's maintenance department. The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, with funding allocated for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Annually the district budgets \$2 million dollars for deferred maintenance activities.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 11/24/2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs			X	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

### Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: 11/24/2015				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts/Literacy	38	42	44
Mathematics	36	32	33

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

##### Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	68	68	100.0	47	35	12	6
	4	62	61	98.4	34	18	25	23
	5	75	74	98.7	32	16	34	16
Male	3	68	38	55.9	50	39	8	3
	4	62	36	58.1	33	22	22	22
	5	75	40	53.3	38	23	28	10
Female	3	68	30	44.1	43	30	17	10
	4	62	25	40.3	36	12	28	24
	5	75	34	45.3	26	9	41	24
Black or African American	3	68	4	5.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	75	2	2.7	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	75	2	2.7	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	68	4	5.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	4	6.5	--	--	--	--
	5	75	1	1.3	--	--	--	--
Filipino	3	68	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	5	75	3	4.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	68	14	20.6	57	21	21	0
	4	62	14	22.6	50	29	7	14
	5	75	13	17.3	38	31	23	8

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	68	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	4	62	2	3.2	--	--	--	--
	5	75	1	1.3	--	--	--	--
White	3	68	44	64.7	45	36	11	7
	4	62	39	62.9	31	18	26	26
	5	75	52	69.3	35	12	35	19
Two or More Races	4	62	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	68	28	41.2	68	32	0	0
	4	62	24	38.7	54	13	21	13
	5	75	34	45.3	56	15	18	12
Students with Disabilities	3	68	17	25.0	100	0	0	0
	4	62	9	14.5	--	--	--	--
	5	75	14	18.7	71	14	7	0
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

#### Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
All Students	3	68	68	100.0	43	28	28	1
	4	62	62	100.0	27	34	23	16
	5	75	73	97.3	33	26	18	22
Male	3	68	38	55.9	37	29	34	0
	4	62	36	58.1	25	28	22	25
	5	75	39	52.0	41	23	13	23
Female	3	68	30	44.1	50	27	20	3
	4	62	26	41.9	31	42	23	4
	5	75	34	45.3	24	29	24	21
Black or African American	3	68	4	5.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	75	1	1.3	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	75	2	2.7	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	68	4	5.9	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
	4	62	4	6.5	--	--	--	--
	5	75	1	1.3	--	--	--	--
Filipino	3	68	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	5	75	3	4.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	68	14	20.6	57	21	21	0
	4	62	14	22.6	50	36	14	0
	5	75	13	17.3	46	46	0	8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	68	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	4	62	2	3.2	--	--	--	--
	5	75	1	1.3	--	--	--	--
White	3	68	44	64.7	41	27	30	2
	4	62	40	64.5	23	33	25	20
	5	75	52	69.3	31	23	17	27
Two or More Races	4	62	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	68	28	41.2	61	29	11	0
	4	62	25	40.3	36	40	20	4
	5	75	34	45.3	56	24	6	12
Students with Disabilities	3	68	17	25.0	100	0	0	0
	4	62	9	14.5	--	--	--	--
	5	75	13	17.3	85	8	8	0
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

#### California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	52	73	62	59	61	57	59	60	56

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



### California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	57
All Students at the School	62
Male	63
Female	62
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	--
Filipino	--
Hispanic or Latino	69
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--
White	61
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	45
Foster Youth	--

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	18.90	24.30	25.70

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

#### Contact Information

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school secretary, Margie Yates, in the Albert Schweitzer Elementary office at 916-867-2094 or email MYates@sanjuan.edu.

#### Parent Involvement

Schweitzer School has an extremely active P.T.A. There are approximately 150 parent volunteers working at Schweitzer on a regular basis. As a sampling, many parents help in the classroom, assist the teachers with special projects, serve as science docents, garden and beautify the campus, drive and chaperone field trips, serve on committees, direct or assist in school wide plays, help at school functions such Fall Festival or teach as Art Docents.

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
<b>Suspensions</b>	1.36	2.21	2.81	8.28	7.37	5.71	5.07	4.36	3.80
<b>Expulsions</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.09

### School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

This school is linked directly to the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Program. In partnership with the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department and the City of Citrus Heights Police Department (CHPD), each school becomes part of a safety zone that is patrolled daily by a sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer. The Deputy Sheriffs are assigned to a specific schools which are identified by geographic zones. The Deputy Sheriffs may move from one the geographic zone to another as safety needs dictate. The CHPD Police Officers work identified schools within the City of Citrus Heights and respond as safety needs dictate. In addition to daily support from a designated Sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer, this school is a part of the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Task Force, which is comprised of safety teams from each of the school sites. Each school safety team meets regularly to discuss safety issues, update their site emergency plans, and to take steps to be proactive in preventing various types of school-related safety issues. Law enforcement provides speakers to address students, staff and community groups. Our safety team receives regular training through the Safe Schools Task Force. Each school site is responsible for updating their Comprehensive School Safety Plan by March 1st of every year. The plan must be approved by the School Site Council before being submitted to the district Safe Schools Manager. Every San Juan classroom has a standardized "Safety Folder" which serves as a guide for teachers, includes the site specific crisis response procedures and a district standardized emergency flip chart. This school meets or exceeds the Education Code requirements for fire drills and lock down drills.

The site safety committee has been established to maintain a safe environment that promotes mutual respect and personal responsibilities, accepting of all cultures, and conducive to learning. The committee assesses safe practices as well as well as the conditions of the school. The current safety plan was developed by the safety committee and reviewed and approved by School Site Council on 3/17/2015.

The Comprehensive School Safety Plan outlines disaster preparedness and evacuation/drill procedures. Section 1 of the plan describes Schweitzer demographics and the process for developing/updating the plan. Section 2 lists Albert Schweitzer School's mission and core beliefs and commitments for learning. Section 3 and 4 lists strengths and concerns from parent/student surveys. Section 5 lists parent involvement goals and the identified actions. Sections 6 and 7 describe the process of communicating and evaluating the plan. Lastly, the plan includes Schweitzer's school policy on bullying prevention.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	No	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	17
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	68.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2012-13				2013-14				2014-15			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	23	1	2		27		2		28		2	
1	31		2		29		2		31		2	
2	24		2		30		2		30		2	
3	30		2		31		2		23	1	2	
4	35			1	31		2		29		2	
5	28	1	1	1	24	1	2		27	1		2
Other					15	1						

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)**

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist	.40	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist	.70	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	5773	1181	4592	
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,013	\$71,583
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-8.4	
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)**

The table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

In FY 2011-12, the district spent \$7,732,354 in restricted general fund for positions that would have usually been paid for by unrestricted dollars (SF-0) due to receiving American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Education Jobs Funds (EJF). The positions that were paid for included 21.0 FTE for Counselors, 24.0 FTE for Principals and 18.0 FTE for Vice Principals. Due to this change, many schools showed a decrease in unrestricted costs and an increase in restricted costs.

In FY 2011-12, the district spent \$3,163,102 in restricted general fund from the Economic Impact Aid (EIA) grant. The expenses were coded with a central location (000) in 2011-12, when in the previous year the dollars were coded to site locations. The impact of this change will show a decrease in restricted expenses.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,336	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,764	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,465	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$102,900	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$112,906	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$126,182	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$225,000	\$234,382
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

**Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Professional development is a key part of the continuous improvement process for educators. The goal of our quality professional development is to support the learning of teachers and paraprofessionals to positively impact student achievement. Annually, teachers and site administrators participate in professional development in a variety of opportunities. Seventy-five minutes per week are dedicated to staff collaboration and training planned by site leadership teams. The District also provides a cycle of continuous professional development for Administrators through Principal Networks as well as Leadership Academies.

The District provides professional development opportunities for teachers and administrators that support the implementation of Common Core State Standards in English language arts and mathematics, and the ELA/ELD Framework. Specific professional development initiatives include: Critical Literacy, K-1 Reading, Making Meaning Through Close Reading and Writing, Engineering and Mathematics Inspiring Thinking Solutions (EMITS), Math to the Core, Expository Reading and Writing Course (ERWC), and ELD Foundations. District departments, Center for Teacher Support, grant-funded projects, and the San Juan Teacher's Association sponsor additional training opportunities. Professional development opportunities are voluntary for teachers and are provided throughout the year in a variety of formats: during the school day, after school, on Saturdays, and during summer and vacation breaks. Many teachers and administrators also take advantage of opportunities with Sacramento County Office of Education, California Department of Education, the college/university programs, state/national education organizations, and private educational institutes.

What grounds the professional development in the district is the District Strategic Plan and the Local Control Accountability Plan. Professional Development is further determined using one or more of the following: (a) student achievement data, (b) staff survey data, and (c) district-identified goals. Professional development addresses the Common Core State Standards (CCSS), teaching strategies, curriculum, assessment, technology, classroom management, safety, and leadership. Administrator training accompanies professional development in district focus areas, providing implementation support for teachers on site. Content-area coaches are available at some schools. Additional classroom support is provided to new and struggling teachers by consulting teachers from the Center for Teacher Support.

Paraprofessionals are encouraged to participate in professional development at the district and site level. Specifically designed training is also offered to non-instructional support staff such as clerical and custodial staff that includes both operational and instructional topics.