

# Andrew Carnegie Middle School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

### Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)**

<b>School Contact Information</b>	
<b>School Name</b>	Andrew Carnegie Middle School
<b>Street</b>	5820 Illinois Avenue
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Orangevale CA, 95662
<b>Phone Number</b>	(916) 971-7853
<b>Principal</b>	Mark Siewert
<b>E-mail Address</b>	msiewert@sanjuan.edu
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.sanjuan.edu/carnegie">http://www.sanjuan.edu/carnegie</a>
<b>CDS Code</b>	34-67447-6034409

District Contact Information	
District Name	San Juan Unified School District
Phone Number	(916) 971-7700
Superintendent	Kent Kern
E-mail Address	info@sanjuan.edu
Web Site	www.sanjuan.edu

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

#### Mission Statement

To develop independent thinkers and community leaders, the mission of Andrew Carnegie Middle School is to inspire and equip our students for an ever-changing global society by providing dynamic, innovative instruction that celebrates diversity, fosters unique interests, encourages collaboration, promotes accountability, and empowers students to "OWN IT".

#### School Profile

Andrew Carnegie Middle is one of eight middle schools in the San Juan Unified School District and recently awarded California Distinguish School. It provides students a comprehensive curriculum aligned to California Content Standards. Andrew Carnegie serves 6th - 8th grade. It provides special education, grade level, and high achiever programs. The 1050 students attending Andrew Carnegie Middle School are 76% white, 13% Hispanic or Latino, 7% Asian and African American and 4% other ethnicities. 2.5% of our population are English language learners with Spanish being the primary language and 31% of our students are socioeconomically disadvantaged. Additionally, 11% of the population currently receives special education services, 5% are identified GATE and 42% are identified high achievers.

Andrew Carnegie provides varied opportunities for enrichment and support:

- After School Athletic and clubs
- Jazz Band
- Student Council
- Live Media Daily Broadcasts
- Science Olympiad
- After School Study Hall
- Intervention Classes and support classes
- Overnight Field Trip for 6th Grade
- Multiple assemblies
- Spanish 1A and 1B
- Advanced Band, Drama, Art and Choir

Andrew Carnegie encourages parent participation in a variety of support opportunities. Parent leadership opportunities exist in the form of our PTSA, Site Council, SPAC-the superintendent's monthly meeting group. Because of parental support the following activities are possible:

- Dance Support
- 8th Grade Celebration
- Chaperones/Drivers on various field trips
- Classroom support and Daily Supervision
- Support at Carnegie Sporting Events
- Extension activities in classrooms through donations

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	160
Grade 7	449
Grade 8	450
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>1,059</b>

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8
Asian	3.2
Filipino	0.7
Hispanic or Latino	15.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8
White	75.5
Two or More Races	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	32.1
English Learners	2
Students with Disabilities	10.8
Foster Youth	0.6

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	42	43	40	1814
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	33
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	2	2	2	29

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

**Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)**

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
<b>This School</b>	96.7	3.3
<b>All Schools in District</b>	86.2	13.8
<b>High-Poverty Schools in District</b>	83.9	16.1
<b>Low-Poverty Schools in District</b>	96.3	3.7

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)**

**Year and month in which data were collected:** September 22, 2015

San Juan Unified held a public hearing on September 22, 2015 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in September 2015 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. If you would like more information on the textbooks and instructional materials please visit our website: <http://www.sanjuan.edu/Page/23485>

In July 2009, EC Section 60200.7, delayed all instructional materials adoptions and the development of curriculum frameworks and evaluation criteria until the 2015-16 school year. Since then the State Board of Education has adopted frameworks for ELA/ELD, Math and Social Sciences, and has identified an approved list of instructional materials available for adoption. For more information regarding San Juan’s textbook adoption schedule, please visit our website:

<http://www.sanjuan.edu/cms/lib8/CA01902727/Centricity/Domain/140/Textbook%20Piloting%20adoption%20Schedule.pdf>.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	2003 Pearson: Timeless Voices, Timeless Themes	Yes	0.0
<b>Mathematics</b>	2010 Houghton Mifflin Mathematics, Course 1 and 2 2008 Houghton Mifflin Algebra 2 2015 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt: Go Math	Yes	0.0
<b>Science</b>	2008 Glencoe McGraw-Hill: Glencoe Focus on Earth 2007 Pearson/Prentice Hall: Conceptual Physical Science (HA/IB) 2008 Pearson: Biology: Exploring Life	Yes	0.0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	2006 Teacher’s Curriculum Institute (TCI): History Alive	Yes	0.0
<b>Foreign Language</b>	2015 Realidades 1 and 2		
<b>Health</b>	2006 Glencoe McGraw-Hill: Teen Health Course 2	Yes	0.0%
<b>Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)</b>	The school stocks an adequate supply of equipment for its students. Inventory includes, but is not limited to: microscopes, slides, ring stands, clamps, support rings, utility clamps, test tubes, test tube holders and brushes, tongs, flasks, beakers, and Bunsen burners. For more information, please call the school office.		0.00%

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

Modernization at Carnegie Middle School was completed in 2001. The scope of work included roof repair/replacement, new doors and hardware, new lighting, upgraded electrical service, new heating/air condition systems, and interior paint. All restrooms were refurbished during modernization and some were made handicapped accessible. Each classroom has fire and intrusion monitoring and alarm system, telephone and internet connections. There is a site custodial staff who keeps the buildings clean. The district provides landscape maintenance and support to keep all the school systems functioning. During the summer 2005 the underground hydroponic lines were replace with overhead piping. Summer 2008 the exterior was painted through Deferred Maintenance. Also the site received a complete parking lot and hard court overlay and a landscape improvement project funded by Measure J. Under Measure J, all student restrooms were refurbished and some were upgraded for ADA compliance. Summer 2010 had a couple of Measure-J projects including a new Weight Room facility and 4 new Classrooms.

The Board of Education and the Superintendent's policy is to ensure that all students are provided with a safe and well-maintained learning environment. The board approved resolutions in 1998 and 2002 to adequately fund maintenance activities and preserve the repairs and improvements funded by two facility bond measures. The school buildings, classrooms and grounds are safe, clean and functional. An inspection of the facility was conducted in September 2016 and determined that there were no unsafe conditions that required emergency repairs. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A computer automated work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs and health and safety repairs are given the highest priority. The Board of Education has adopted cleaning standards and custodial staffing requirements for all schools in the district. This school meets the Board's standards for custodial staffing and cleanliness. The school's custodians are trained in the proper use of cleaning chemicals and Integrated Pest Management techniques. They are managed day to day by the Principal with assistance from the district's maintenance department. The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, with funding allocated for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Annually the district budgets \$2 million dollars for deferred maintenance activities.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

<b>School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)</b>				
<b>Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/26/2016</b>				
<b>System Inspected</b>	<b>Repair Status</b>			<b>Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned</b>
	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

<b>Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/26/2016</b>				
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>
		X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

#### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	44	51	42	45	44	48
Mathematics	46	48	32	35	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

##### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	6	158	157	99.4	64.3
	7	445	442	99.3	51.3
	8	443	438	98.9	47.0
Male	6	73	73	100.0	57.5
	7	220	220	100.0	45.5
	8	234	233	99.6	42.5
Female	6	85	84	98.8	70.2
	7	225	222	98.7	57.0
	8	209	205	98.1	52.2
Black or African American	7	19	19	100.0	21.1
Asian	8	19	19	100.0	73.7
Hispanic or Latino	6	21	21	100.0	33.3
	7	70	70	100.0	34.8
	8	69	68	98.5	32.4
White	6	124	123	99.2	67.5
	7	333	331	99.4	55.6
	8	339	336	99.1	48.8

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6	45	44	97.8	54.5
	7	143	143	100.0	31.5
	8	136	135	99.3	28.9
Students with Disabilities	6	14	14	100.0	35.7
	7	39	39	100.0	12.8
	8	51	48	94.1	10.4

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	6	158	157	99.4	61.1
	7	445	441	99.1	43.0
	8	445	441	99.1	43.0
Male	6	73	73	100.0	60.3
	7	220	220	100.0	45.5
	8	220	220	100.0	45.5
Female	6	85	84	98.8	61.9
	7	225	221	98.2	40.5
	8	225	221	98.2	40.5
Black or African American	7	19	19	100.0	26.3
	8	19	19	100.0	26.3
Hispanic or Latino	6	21	21	100.0	33.3
	7	70	69	98.6	23.2
	8	70	69	98.6	23.2
White	6	124	123	99.2	62.6
	7	333	331	99.4	46.7
	8	333	331	99.4	46.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6	45	44	97.8	50.0
	7	143	143	100.0	25.9
	8	143	143	100.0	25.9
Students with Disabilities	6	14	14	100.0	21.4

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	7	39	39	100.0	15.4
	8	39	39	100.0	15.4

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
<b>Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)</b>	72	71	69	61	57	56	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
<b>All Students</b>	444	433	97.5	69.3
<b>Male</b>	233	231	99.1	72.7
<b>Female</b>	211	202	95.7	65.4
<b>Asian</b>	20	19	95.0	89.5
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	70	66	94.3	54.6
<b>White</b>	338	333	98.5	72.1
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	137	131	95.6	53.4
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	50	46	92.0	37.0

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	14.3	26	40.8

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parent participation is a key to Andrew Carnegie's successful program; parents are always welcome. The P.T.S.A. provides extensive support in the form of library furniture, books and numerous volunteer hours. Also, they regularly work with the school to support orientation preparation, the quarterly parent newsletter and the Eighth Grade Party. We also count on parent representation on each District or Unit committee.

Andrew Carnegie encourages parent participation in a variety of support opportunities. Parent leadership opportunities exist in the form of our PTSA, Site Council, SPAC-the superintendent's monthly meeting group. Because of parental support the following activities are possible:

- Dance Support
- 8th Grade Celebration
- Chaperones/Drivers on various field trips
- Classroom support and Daily Supervision
- Support at Carnegie Sporting Events
- Extension activities in classrooms through donations

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact Maureen Harris in the school office 971-7853.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	0.0	4.3	5.1	7.4	5.7	5.7	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

This school is linked directly to the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Program. In partnership with the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department and the City of Citrus Heights Police Department (CHPD), each school becomes part of a safety zone that is patrolled daily by a sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer. The Deputy Sheriffs are assigned to specific schools which are identified by geographic zones. The Deputy Sheriffs may move from one the geographic zone to another as safety needs dictate. The CHPD Police Officers work identified schools within the City of Citrus Heights and respond as safety needs dictate. The Deputy Sheriff's and/or CHPD Officers are dispatched to critical incidents via the Safe Schools Dispatcher, a law enforcement dispatcher or via a direct report from a school staff member, school visitor or other person. In addition to daily support from a designated Sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer, this school is a part of the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Task Force, which is comprised of safety teams from each of the school sites. Each school safety team meets regularly to discuss safety issues, update their site emergency plans, and to take steps to be proactive in preventing various types of school-related safety issues. Law enforcement provides speakers to address students, staff and community groups. Our safety team receives regular training through the Safe Schools Task Force. Each school site is responsible for updating their Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP) by March 1st of every year. As part of the update, each school site meets at least once per year with a law enforcement officer to review the CSSP and they hold a community meeting to review the CSSP. The CSSP must be approved by the School Site Council before being submitted to the district Safe Schools Manager. Every San Juan classroom has a standardized "Safety Folder" which serves as a guide for teachers, includes the site specific crisis response procedures and a district standardized emergency flip chart. Each school site conducts and keeps a record of all fire drills, lockdown drills, shelter in place drills and/or earthquake drills up to or in excess of what is required by State law.

Our plan continues to focus on how it can support our strategic plan and the OWN IT program. Also, the plan continues to look at our safety procedures as it relates to both prevention for emergency preparedness.

### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	17
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	65.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms				
		1-22	23-32		33+	1-22		23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+
English	26	12	7	18	28	9	15	11	28	9	15	11
Mathematics	28	5	15	13	24	5	1	4	24	5	1	4
Science	30	2	12	15	30	2	13	14	30	2	13	14
Social Science	29	3	16	11	30	2	14	13	30	2	14	13

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.5	700
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	N/A
Psychologist	.5	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	N/A
Resource Specialist		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	5607	906	4701	\$67,930
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,013	\$74,317
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-6.2	-5.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-12.1	-6.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

The table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

In FY 2011-12, the district spent \$7,732,354 in restricted general fund for positions that would have usually been paid for by unrestricted dollars (SF-0) due to receiving American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Education Jobs Funds (EJF). The positions that were paid for included 21.0 FTE for Counselors, 24.0 FTE for Principals and 18.0 FTE for Vice Principals. Due to this change, many schools showed a decrease in unrestricted costs and an increase in restricted costs.

In FY 2011-12, the district spent \$3,163,102 in restricted general fund from the Economic Impact Aid (EIA) grant. The expenses were coded with a central location (000) in 2011-12, when in the previous year the dollars were coded to site locations. The impact of this change will show a decrease in restricted expenses.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,196	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,948	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,219	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,528	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$117,984	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$134,096	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$254,994	\$249,537
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

### Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Professional development is a key part of the continuous improvement process for educators. The goal of our quality professional development is to support the learning of teachers and paraprofessionals to positively impact student achievement. Annually, teachers and site administrators participate in professional development in a variety of opportunities. Seventy-five minutes per week are dedicated to staff collaboration and training planned by site leadership teams. The District also provides a cycle of continuous professional development for Administrators through Principal Networks as well as Leadership Academies.

The District provides professional development opportunities for teachers and administrators that support the implementation of Common Core State Standards in English language arts, mathematics, science, social studies, and the ELA/ELD Framework. Specific professional development initiatives include: Culturally Responsive Practices, Critical Literacy, TK-2 Reading, Supporting Independence and Engagement through Reading and Writing, Engineering and Mathematics Inspiring Thinking Solutions, Math to the Core, Expository Reading and Writing Course, and ELD Foundations. District departments, Center for Teacher Support, grant-funded projects, and the San Juan Teacher's Association sponsor additional training opportunities. Professional development opportunities are voluntary for teachers and are provided throughout the year in a variety of formats: during the school day, after school, on Saturdays, and during summer and vacation breaks. Many teachers and administrators also take advantage of opportunities with Sacramento County Office of Education, California Department of Education, the college/university programs, state/national education organizations, and private educational institutes.

What grounds the professional development in the district is the District Strategic Plan and the Local Control Accountability Plan. Professional Development is further determined using one or more of the following: (a) student achievement data, (b) staff survey data, and (c) district-identified goals. Professional development addresses the Common Core State Standards, teaching strategies, curriculum, assessment, technology, classroom management, safety, and leadership. Administrator training accompanies professional development in district focus areas, providing implementation support for teachers on site. Content-area coaches are available at some schools. Additional classroom support is provided to new and struggling teachers by consulting teachers from the Center for Teacher Support.

Paraprofessionals are encouraged to participate in professional development at the district and site level. Specifically designed training is also offered to non-instructional support staff such as clerical and custodial staff that includes both operational and instructional topics.