

Ralph Richardson Center

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Ralph Richardson Center
Street	4848 Cottage Way
City, State, Zip	Carmichael CA, 95608
Phone Number	(916) 971-7411
Principal	Beth Von Striver
E-mail Address	bvonstriver@sanjuan.edu
Web Site	www.sanjuan.edu/RalphRichardson
CDS Code	34-67447-6034938

District Contact Information	
District Name	San Juan Unified School District
Phone Number	(916) 971-7700
Superintendent	Kent Kern
E-mail Address	info@sanjuan.edu
Web Site	www.sanjuan.edu

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Principal's Message

It is my distinct pleasure to be the Instructional Leader at Ralph Richardson Center. In alignment with the San Juan Unified Strategic Plan, and with thoughtful shared input and deliberate collaboration, staff and families work together to provide optimum educational opportunities for our unique learners. I invite you to come for a visit, I predict you will be forever changed.

Mission Statement

Ralph Richardson Center is a place where, with respect to individual needs and learning styles, and in a collaborative manner, staff challenge and support students to achieve their optimal potential and independence by providing effective instruction and related support services in a nurturing environment.

School Profile

Ralph Richardson is one of three special education schools in San Juan Unified School District. Our site boasts programs serving students with varying needs. Currently, we provide specialized instruction for pre-schoolers who present with significant need, as well as typically developing youngsters in Early Childhood Education. Additionally, we have six special day classes for students with profound cognitive, physical and/or health needs, and two middle/high school programs serving students impacted by Autism to the most severe degree. Adjacent to our campus is Starr King K-8, where we support an Inclusion staff and program designed to fully include in general education students with Orthopedic Impairments.

Given our recent performance data, we have concluded our diploma and non-diploma bound students need continued support to perform at higher levels of achievement. In order to address student needs, we have selected four goal areas that we have agreed would make a significant positive impact for student growth and achievement. Additionally, we reviewed the San Juan Unified School District Strategic Plan to ensure our goals are in alignment.

- 1) Richardson staff will increase their effectiveness and comfort level for utilizing technology and specific teaching methods, strategies and/or programs (for example; skills of daily living, communication programs, mobility programs; MOVE, pre-vocational/vocational, academics and others) to support students with moderate/severe disabilities and orthopedic impairments.
- 2) Richardson staff will learn and/or review and implement the use of the most developmentally and educationally appropriate curriculum and behavior strategies for our diverse populations as a means to provide the best and most appropriate educational planning for all students.
- 3) Richardson staff will learn and implement ways to communicate and collaborate with all stakeholders in a more meaningful, timely and effective manner and increase knowledge and interactions that are effective in attending to cultural responsiveness.
- 4) Richardson staff will learn and implement positive behavior support and research-based best-practice strategies to increase effectiveness with students who exhibit significant behavioral needs.

The Principal and leadership team did the initial analysis of data and reported to the stakeholders. Site goals were drafted, collaboratively, by the Principal, leadership team and school staff based on input from parents and all teaching and support staff. Responsibility will be shared with all stakeholders and progress reported using a transparent communication format.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	3
Grade 1	1
Grade 2	2
Grade 3	4
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	7
Grade 6	7
Grade 7	14
Grade 8	12
Grade 9	10
Grade 10	7
Grade 11	4
Grade 12	11
Total Enrollment	83

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	8.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.4
Asian	3.6
Filipino	3.6
Hispanic or Latino	16.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.2
White	59
Two or More Races	4.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38.6
English Learners	14.5
Students with Disabilities	95.2
Foster Youth	1.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	15	13	12	1885
Without Full Credential	0	1	2	49
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	34

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 22, 2015

San Juan Unified held a public hearing on September 22, 2015 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in September 2015 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. If you would like more information on the textbooks and instructional materials please visit our website: <http://www.sanjuan.edu/Page/23485>

In July 2009, EC Section 60200.7, delayed all instructional materials adoptions and the development of curriculum frameworks and evaluation criteria until the 2015-16 school year. Since then the State Board of Education has adopted frameworks for ELA/ELD, Math and Social Sciences, and has identified an approved list of instructional materials available for adoption. For more information regarding San Juan's textbook adoption schedule, please visit our website:

<http://www.sanjuan.edu/cms/lib8/CA01902727/Centricity/Domain/140/Textbook%20Piloting%20adoption%20Schedule.pdf>.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	2002: Houghton Mifflin- Legacy of Literature 2009: Scholastic, Inc.- Read 180 2007: Pro-Ed, Inc - Reading Milestones 3rd Edition	Yes	0.0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Mathematics	2001: Houghton Mifflin/Harcourt - California Mathematics	Yes	0.0
Science	2008: Delta Education - FOSS 2008: Glencoe/McGraw Hill - Focus on Earth	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	2006: Teacher's Curriculum Institute (TCI) - History Alive 2007: Scott Foresman/Pearson - History - Social Science for California	Yes	0.0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			0.00%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Ralph Richardson Center has been modernized, using Measures S and J funding, over the last five years. The parking area was repaved during the summer of 2005. All rooms used by students are cleaned daily, with special attention to areas housing medically fragile students. All classrooms are currently being used for instruction or instructional support. In 2009, major ADA and Safe Routes improvements were made including landscape, irrigation, parking lots, and sidewalks using Measure J funds.

The Board of Education and the Superintendent's policy is to ensure that all students are provided with a safe and well-maintained learning environment. The board approved resolutions in 1998 and 2002 to adequately fund maintenance activities and preserve the repairs and improvements funded by two facility bond measures. The most recent modifications to the Richardson campus was the installation of security fences around the perimeter of the school which was completed in September of 2016. The school buildings, classrooms and grounds are safe, clean and functional. An inspection of the facility was conducted in October 2017 and determined that there were no unsafe conditions that required emergency repairs. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A computer automated work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs and health and safety repairs are given the highest priority. The Board of Education has adopted cleaning standards and custodial staffing requirements for all schools in the district. This school meets the Board's standards for custodial staffing and cleanliness. The school's custodians are trained in the proper use of cleaning chemicals and Integrated Pest Management techniques. They are managed day to day by the Principal with assistance from the district's maintenance department. The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, with funding allocated for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Annually, the district budgets for deferred maintenance activities.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 10/30/2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 10/30/2017				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)		0	45	44	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)		0	35	33	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	47	43	91.49	0
Male	37	34	91.89	0
White	27	26	96.3	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	15	83.33	0
Students with Disabilities	47	43	91.49	0

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	47	45	95.74	0
Male	37	36	97.3	0

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
White	27	27	100	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	17	94.44	0
Students with Disabilities	47	45	95.74	0

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	--	25	57	56	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parent Involvement:

Ralph Richardson Center greatly benefits from its supportive parents who volunteer their valuable time. The school has a strong base of parent volunteers who assist students and staff in all aspects of daily living and educational programs. Parents are also welcome to join us in planning all annual events. Additionally, the school benefits from several community partnerships, including the dedicated and loyal Rotary of Sacramento, MOVE, the Sacramento Labor Council, Senior Gleaners, Therapeutic Service organizations and other community individuals and/or organizations that support our school and students.

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, fund-raisers, special projects or become a volunteer may contact the Ralph Richardson Center at (916) 971-7411. Please call Beth Von Striver, Principal or Charlene Grady, volunteer coordinator at (916) 971-7318.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	0	0	0	9.6	9.2	8.8	11.5	10.7	9.7
Graduation Rate	0	0	0	81.6	82.65	83.79	80.95	82.27	83.77

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	7.1	6.1	0.0	5.7	5.7	5.8	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Ralph Richardson Center is linked directly to the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Program. In partnership with the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department and the City of Citrus Heights Police Department (CHPD), each school becomes part of a safety zone that is patrolled daily by a sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer. The Deputy Sheriffs are assigned to specific schools which are identified by geographic zones. The Deputy Sheriffs may move from one the geographic zone to another as safety needs dictate. The CHPD Police Officers work identified schools within the City of Citrus Heights and respond as safety needs dictate. The Deputy Sheriff's and/or CHPD Officers are dispatched to critical incidents via the Safe Schools Dispatcher, a law enforcement dispatcher or via a direct report from a school staff member, school visitor or other person. In addition to daily support from a designated Sheriff's deputy or CHPD officer, this school is a part of the San Juan Unified School District's Safe Schools Task Force, which is comprised of safety teams from each of the school sites. Each school safety team meets regularly to discuss safety issues, update their site emergency plans, and to take steps to be proactive in preventing various types of school-related safety issues. Law enforcement provides speakers to address students, staff and community groups. Our safety team receives regular training through the Safe Schools Task Force. Each school site is responsible for updating their Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP) by March 1st of every year. As part of the update, each school site meets at least once per year with a law enforcement officer to review the CSSP and they hold a community meeting to review the CSSP. The CSSP must be approved by the School Site Council before being submitted to the district Safe Schools Manager. Every San Juan classroom has a standardized "Safety Folder" which serves as a guide for teachers, includes the site specific crisis response procedures and a district standardized emergency flip chart. Each school site conducts and keeps a record of all fire drills, lockdown drills, shelter in place drills and/or earthquake drills up to or in excess of what is required by State law. Our site safety plan is updated and reviewed with staff and community members annually each March.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	17
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	65.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
5								3	2			
6				1	3							
Other	6	3		8	1							

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	5	2			2	2						
Mathematics	5	1			2	1						
Science					2	1						
Social Science	5	1			2	1						

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	1.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist	0	N/A
Other	2.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	53948	51929	2019	
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,013	\$75,808
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-59.7	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-64.4	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,600	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$76,351	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,055	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$111,903	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$114,058	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$138,454	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$263,176	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Professional development is a key part of the continuous improvement process for educators. The goal of our quality professional development is to support the learning of teachers and paraprofessionals to positively impact student achievement. Annually, teachers and site administrators participate in professional development in a variety of opportunities. Seventy-five minutes per week are dedicated to staff collaboration and training planned by site leadership teams. The District also provides a cycle of continuous professional development for Administrators through Principal Networks as well as Leadership Academies.

The District provides professional development opportunities for teachers and administrators that support the implementation of Common Core State Standards in English language arts, mathematics, science, social studies, and the ELA/ELD Framework. Specific professional development initiatives include: Culturally Responsive Practices, Critical Literacy, TK-2 Reading, Supporting Independence and Engagement through Reading and Writing, Engineering and Mathematics Inspiring Thinking Solutions, Math to the Core, Expository Reading and Writing Course, and ELD Foundations. District departments, Center for Teacher Support, grant-funded projects, and the San Juan Teacher's Association sponsor additional training opportunities. Professional development opportunities are voluntary for teachers and are provided throughout the year in a variety of formats: during the school day, after school, on Saturdays, and during summer and vacation breaks. Many teachers and administrators also take advantage of opportunities with Sacramento County Office of Education, California Department of Education, the college/university programs, state/national education organizations, and private educational institutes.

What grounds the professional development in the district is the District Strategic Plan and the Local Control Accountability Plan. Professional Development is further determined using one or more of the following: (a) student achievement data, (b) staff survey data, (c) parent survey data, and (d) district-identified goals. Professional development addresses the Common Core State Standards, teaching strategies, curriculum, assessment, technology, classroom management, safety, and leadership. Administrator training accompanies professional development in district focus areas, providing implementation support for teachers on site. Content-area coaches are available at some schools. Additional classroom support is provided to new and struggling teachers by consulting teachers from the Center for Teacher Support.

Paraprofessionals are encouraged to participate in professional development at the district and site level. Specifically designed training is also offered to non-instructional support staff such as clerical and custodial staff that includes both operational and instructional topics.