

# La Vista Middle & High School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

#### Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)**

<b>School Contact Information</b>	
<b>School Name</b>	La Vista Middle & High School
<b>Street</b>	4501 Bannister Rd.
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Fair Oaks CA, 95628
<b>Phone Number</b>	(916) 971-5220
<b>Principal</b>	Sunny Lofton
<b>E-mail Address</b>	sunny.lofton@sanjuan.edu
<b>Web Site</b>	www.sanjuan.edu/lavista
<b>CDS Code</b>	34-67447-3430394

District Contact Information	
District Name	San Juan Unified School District
Phone Number	(916) 971-7700
Superintendent	Kent Kern
E-mail Address	info@sanjuan.edu
Web Site	www.sanjuan.edu

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

---

### Principal's Message:

Hello and welcome to La Vista Middle & High School. We are a WASC accredited school that has resources for students who need an extraordinary learning environment. I have been in education for over 15 years and I am dedicated to the growth and well-being of our students. It is our idea that EVERY student can learn and we are motivated to identify and provide the connection to the right resources for the students who attend our school.

We have three universal rules at La Vista: BE SAFE, BE RESPONSIBLE, BE RESPECTFUL.

Our theme is: FIND YOUR PATH

### Mission Statement:

La Vista Middle & High School provides a safe, therapeutic, individualized learning environment that supports students in overcoming barriers to school success and prepares them academically, socially, emotionally and behaviorally to be productive citizens.

### School Profile:

La Vista Center is a Special Education Center for students classified as Emotionally Disturbed, serving 6th through 12th graders using grade level curriculum aligned to common core and best practices for instruction. La Vista students have a current Individual Education Plan (IEP), participate in Smarter Balanced assessments, and are on a diploma track. There is a high staff to student ratio in order to meet the intense instructional, behavioral, social, and emotional needs of our students. La Vista Center is dedicated to the education of the whole student through the development of positive social, emotional, and academic skills. Our staff is committed to addressing the growth of the individual in the school, home, and community environments. Teachers are specially trained in the areas of differentiation of instruction, social skills development and positive behavior supports in order to help our students develop and apply 21 Century skills such as problem solving, critical thinking, group collaboration, and applications of technology. All staff are trained in Therapeutic Crisis Intervention (TCI) in order to ensure a physically and psychologically safe environment for learning.

La Vista Center has two programs that operate to serve the needs of students, which include the Day Treatment program and the Traditional program. The Day Treatment program serves those students who need the therapeutic model of a trained mental health therapist working in the classroom alongside the teacher and instructional assistants. In addition, the therapist's role is to provide site based educationally related mental health services to the student in the form of both individual and group counseling as well as work with the family to support further stabilization and school success. Emotional and behavioral issues are often dealt with in a more intensive manner using the school environment to educate and emotionally regulate the student. Social skills groups promote pro-social skill development and positive peer interactions. Home-School communication is a vital part of the school day as parents partner with school staff to support their child's academic, social and emotional stabilization and success.

The Traditional program serves those students who are working to acquire the skills needed to begin the transition process to a regular comprehensive middle or high school campus. Increased structure, supports and supervision are implemented to ensure the greatest opportunities for focus on learning. Students benefit from positive behavior supports and interventions geared towards rewarding students when they chose to actively participate as part of the learning community. Students are also beginning the process to plan for their futures beyond high school and set more long term goals for a successful transition into adulthood, including college and career readiness, Workability courses and on/off campus supported employment. Each student age 16 or older also has an Individual Transition Plan as part of their IEP.

**Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)**

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	7
Grade 8	8
Grade 9	7
Grade 10	10
Grade 11	12
Grade 12	15
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>60</b>

**Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	20.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	1.7
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	10.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	65.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76.7
English Learners	0.0
Students with Disabilities	88.3
Foster Youth	23.3

**A. Conditions of Learning**

**State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

**Teacher Credentials**

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	9	9	10	10
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: September, 2018

San Juan Unified held a public hearing on September 11, 2018 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in September 2018 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. If you would like more information on the textbooks and instructional materials please visit our website: <http://www.sanjuan.edu/Page/23485> In July 2009, EC Section 60200.7. The State Board of Education has adopted frameworks for ELA/ELD, Math, Science and Social Sciences, and has identified an approved list of instructional materials available for adoption. For more information regarding San Juan's textbook adoption schedule, please visit our website:

<http://www.sanjuan.edu/cms/lib8/CA01902727/Centricity/Domain/140/Textbook%20Piloting%20adoption%20Schedule.pdf>.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	2016 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - California Collections, Grades 9, 10, 11, 12 2015: Oxford University Press - English A: Literature (IB Diploma Programme) 2016: Bedford, Freeman, and Worth - The Language of Composition 2016: Pearson - Literature: An Introduction to Reading and Writing	Yes	0.0
<b>Mathematics</b>	2016: Cengage - Calculus 2016: Cengage - Calculus of a Single Variable 2016: Pearson - Elementary Statistics: Picturing the World 2018: Ramsey Education - Foundations in Personal Finance 2015: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - Integrated Mathematics 1,2,3, Volumes 1 & 2 2007: Pearson - Mathematical Ideas 2018: McGraw-Hill - Mathematics for Business and Personal Finance 2017: Haese Mathematics - Mathematics for the International Student - Mathematics SL 2017: Cambridge University Press - Mathematics Higher Level for the IB Diploma & Mathematics Studies Standard Level for the IB Diploma	Yes	0.0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
	2016: Bedford, Freeman, and Worth - The Practice of Statistics for the jAP Exam 2016: Cengage – Precalculus		
<b>Science</b>	2009 Prentice Hall: Biology, 2013: Bio-Rad Laboratories - Biotechnology: A Laboratory Skills Course 2007: Pearson - Chemistry 2013: Cengage - Chemistry and Chemical Reactivity 2007: Cengage - Chemistry and Chemical Reactivity- 8th edition 2008: Pearson Conceptual Integrated Science 2009: Pearson - Conceptual Physics 2002: Pearson - Earth Science 2002: Glencoe/McGraw-Hill - Earth Science: Geology, the Environment, and the Universe 2009: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - Environmental Science 2012: Wiley - Environmental Science: Earth as a Living Planet 208: Cengage Learning Essentials of College Physics 2007: Wiley - Foundations of College Chemistry 2016: Pearson - Human Anatomy and Physiology 2006: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - Lifetime Health 2009: Cengage - Living in the Environment 2016: Bedford, Freeman, and Worth - Myers' Psychology for AP 2009: Cambridge University Press- Physics for the IB Diploma 2016: Bedford, Freeman, and Worth - Thinking About Psychology	Yes	0.0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	2017: Cengage - The American Pageant - AP Edition 2007: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - The Americans: Reconstruction to the 21st Century 2016: Oxford University Press - History of the Americas: 1880-1981 (IB Diploma Programme) 2007: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - Modern World History: Patterns of Interaction 2000, 2005 and 2011: Oxford University Press - The Twentieth-Century World and Beyond: An international History since 2900, 4th, 5th & 6th Edition 2018: Bedford, Freeman and Worth - Ways of the World in a Global History with Sources-For the AP Course 2018: Pearson - Government in America People, Politics, and Policy-AP Edition 2018: McGraw-Hill - Principles of American Democracy-Impact California Social Studies	Yes	0.0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Foreign Language</b>	2009: Cheng and Tsui - Integrated Chinese: Levels 1 and 2 2009: Cengage - Jia You! Chinese for the Global Community 1 & 2 1999: Cheng and Tsui - Ni Hao 3: An Introduction to Chinese 2003: Cheng and Tsui - Ni Hao 4: Chinese Language Course, Advanced Level: Traditional Character Edition 2017: Wayside - French - Apprenons 2016: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, French - Ben Dit! Volumes 1, 2, 3 2017: Pearson - Francais B - Livre de L'etudiant 2017: Oxford University Press - IB French B Course Book: Oxford IB Diploma Program 2017: Vista Higher Learning - French - Imaginez 2018: Cheng and Tsui - Adventures in Japanese 1, 2, 3 2017: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - Spanish - Avancemos! 3 and 4 2017 Pearson - Spanish - Ecuencros Maravillosos 2015 Pearson - Spanish - Realidades 1 and 2 2017: Vista Higher Learning - Spanish – Temas		0.0%
<b>Health</b>	2006: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt - Lifetime Health	Yes	0.0%

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

The Board of Education and the Superintendent's policy is to ensure that all students are provided with a safe and well-maintained learning environment. The board approved resolutions in 1998 and 2002 to adequately fund maintenance activities and preserve the repairs and improvements funded by two facility bond measures. The school buildings, classrooms and grounds are safe, clean and functional. An inspection of the facility was conducted in October 2018 and determined that there were no unsafe conditions that required emergency repairs. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A computer automated work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs and health and safety repairs are given the highest priority. The Board of Education has adopted cleaning standards and custodial staffing requirements for all schools in the district. This school meets the Board's standards for custodial staffing and cleanliness. The school's custodians are trained in the proper use of cleaning chemicals and Integrated Pest Management techniques. They are managed day to day by the Principal with assistance from the district's maintenance department. The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, with funding allocated for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

<b>School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)</b> Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 10/23/2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Good	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Good	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

<b>Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 10/23/2018</b>	
Overall Rating	Good

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	7.0	0.0	44.0	45.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	0.0	0.0	33.0	34.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

#### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	45	7	15.56	0.00
Male	26	3	11.54	0.00
Female	19	4	21.05	0.00
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
White	25	5	20.00	0.00
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	5	12.50	0.00
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	42	7	16.67	0.00
Foster Youth	17	4	23.53	0.00



Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group  
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Male	26	4	15.38	0
Female	19	5	26.32	0
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	7	17.5	0
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	42	9	21.43	0
Foster Youth	17	5	29.41	0

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students  
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

**Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2017-18)**

### Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2017-18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

### Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017–18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	75.4
2016–17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	**	**	**
9	**	**	**

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

La Vista Center greatly benefits from its supportive parents, guardians, surrogates, and educational rights holders who play an integral part in the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral successes of our students. The school has a strong base of engaged parents and guardians who actively participate in the individual education plans for their students and provide support for school-wide behavioral interventions and rewards. Parents and guardians are welcome to contact our site office if they are interested in participating on our Safety team, Technology team, or Site Council, where they have an opportunity to give valuable input in determining La Vista's site goals, program planning and the allocation of resources. La Vista works collaboratively with many community partners and is continuing to build and cultivate additional community partnerships.

We have numerous activities throughout the year where parents are invited to participate such as: awards assemblies, sports competitions, a holiday feast, a La Vista community BBQ and parental behavioral skills development classes.

#### Contact Information:

Parents, guardians or community members who wish to participate in school advisory committees, assist with school activities, provide additional resources, or become a volunteer may contact the La Vista principal, Sunny Lofton, at 916-971-5220.

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
<b>Dropout Rate</b>	38.1	58.8	46.7	9.2	8.8	10.3	10.7	9.7	9.1
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	23.8	17.6	40.0	82.7	83.8	78.3	82.3	83.8	82.7

For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017–18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.

### Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2017 (One-Year Rate)

Group	Graduating Class of 2017		
	School	District	State
<b>All Students</b>	42.1	87.3	88.7
<b>Black or African American</b>	40.0	79.4	82.2
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	100.0	75.8	82.8
<b>Asian</b>	0.0	97.2	94.9
<b>Filipino</b>	0.0	94.4	93.5
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	33.3	82.1	86.5
<b>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</b>	0.0	89.5	88.6
<b>White</b>	44.4	88.9	92.1
<b>Two or More Races</b>	0.0	98.0	91.2
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	53.3	86.1	88.6
<b>English Learners</b>	0.0	51.1	56.7
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	44.4	60.7	67.1
<b>Foster Youth</b>	100.0	75.5	74.1

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Suspensions</b>	15.8	28.7	46.6	5.7	5.8	5.8	3.7	3.7	3.5
<b>Expulsions</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

La Vista Center School makes safety a top priority. The School Site Council/Safety Team last updated the comprehensive school safety plan in April 2018 after reviewing it at a public meeting in April 2018. The plan includes a review of safety data for the campus, incident response information in case of fire, earthquakes and other dangers, and details on safety policies. A copy of the plan is available for review in the school office.

Drills are held on a regular basis to include fire drills, earthquake drills and lockdown drills.

Safety is a shared responsibility and our school is supported by the district's Safe Schools program in coordination with the Sacramento Sheriff's Department and Citrus Heights Police Department. The Safe Schools program provides district employed safety specialists who provide safety advice, training and respond to critical incidents. They are supplemented by uniformed law enforcement officers dedicated to working with San Juan Unified schools.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
6					1	1			1	4		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	4.0	26			4.0	20			4.0	18		
Mathematics	6.0	10			4.0	10			7.0	11		
Science	7.0	10			6.0	8			3.0	9		
Social Science	5.0	18			4.0	24			3.0	31		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)**

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.00	80
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	4.00	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist	0.80	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.20	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.20	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	43784	43616	168	\$67,636
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,013	\$76,908
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-187.0	-11.4
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$80,764
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-190.0	-15.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)**

The table provides a comparison of a school’s per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,492	\$47,903
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$77,878	\$74,481
Highest Teacher Salary	\$91,856	\$98,269
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,389	\$123,495
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,560	\$129,482
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$135,771	\$142,414
Superintendent Salary	\$268,440	\$271,429
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36.0	35.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.0	5.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

**Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Professional development is a key part of the continuous improvement process for educators. The goal of our quality professional development is to support the learning of teachers and paraprofessionals to positively impact student achievement. Annually, teachers and site administrators participate in professional development in a variety of opportunities. Seventy-five minutes per week are dedicated to staff collaboration and training planned by site leadership teams. The District also provides a cycle of continuous professional development for Administrators through Principal Networks as well as Leadership Academies.

The District provides professional development opportunities for teachers and administrators that support the implementation of Common Core State Standards in English language arts, mathematics, science, social studies, and the ELA/ELD Framework. Specific professional development initiatives include: Culturally Responsive Practices, Critical Literacy, TK-2 Reading, Supporting Independence and Engagement through Reading and Writing, Math to the Core, Expository Reading and Writing Course, and ELD Foundations. District departments, Center for Teacher Support, grant-funded projects, and the San Juan Teacher's Association sponsor additional training opportunities. Professional development opportunities are voluntary for teachers and are provided throughout the year in a variety of formats: during the school day, after school, on Saturdays, and during summer and vacation breaks. Many teachers and administrators also take advantage of opportunities with Sacramento County Office of Education, California Department of Education, the college/university programs, state/national education organizations, and private educational institutes.

What grounds the professional development in the district is the District Strategic Plan and the Local Control Accountability Plan. Professional Development is further determined using one or more of the following: (a) student achievement data, (b) staff survey data, and (c) district-identified goals. Professional development addresses the Common Core State Standards, teaching strategies, curriculum, assessment, technology, classroom management, safety, and leadership. Administrator training accompanies professional development in district focus areas, providing implementation support for teachers on site. Content-area coaches are available at some schools. Additional classroom support is provided to new and struggling teachers by consulting teachers from the Center for Teacher Support.

Paraprofessionals are encouraged to participate in professional development at the district and site level. Specifically designed training is also offered to non-instructional support staff such as clerical and custodial staff that includes both operational and instructional topics.